Case 5:13-cr-00076-RMW Document 148 Filed 05/28/15 Page 1 of 5

| JOHN J. JORDAN, ESQ. (State Bar No. 175678 | 3) | |
|---|--|--|
| AUU MOMOONEN SHEEL SIIHE 700 | | |
| San Francisco, CA 94104 | EÒËZŠÒÖÄÄÄ EDÌ EFÍ E | |
| Fax: (415) 391-4308 | | |
| Counsel for Defendant | | |
| | TES DISTRICT COURT | |
| FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| SAN JOSE DIVISION | | |
| S/HV VOSE | DIVIDIOIV | |
| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) | No. CR 13-0076 RMW | |
| Plaintiff,) | STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER CONTINUING HEARING | |
|) | ON WIRETAP MOTION | |
| | | |
| Defendants. | | |
| | | |
| The United States by Assistant IJS Atto | orney Richard Cheng, and the defendants | |
| through their counsel of record, hereby move this Court to vacate the hearing date of June 1, | | |
| 2015 and re-set the filing dates of the wiretap motions, to allow time for the parties to review | | |
| · | , | |
| • | dictment with conspiracy with 8 other | |
| 1. The defendants are charged by indictment with conspiracy with 8 other individuals to conduct an illegal gambling enterprise, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1555(a) and | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| 1555(a) and 2 (count two). Le is charged alone in count three of the indictment with interference with commerce by threats or violence, under the Hobbs Act, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1951(a). | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Stipulated Continuance | 1 | |
| | Tel: (415) 391-4814 Fax: (415) 391-4308 Counsel for Defendant Lennie Luan Le IN THE UNITED STATE FOR THE NORTHERN D SAN JOSE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, vs. Defendants. The United States, by Assistant U.S. Attentionally their counsel of record, hereby move thing their counsel of record, hereby move the counsel of the wiretap mediscovery. 1. The defendants are charged by in individuals to conduct an illegal gambling enterpart (count one); and conducting an illegal gambling enterpart (count one); and conducting an illegal gambling with commerce by threats or violence, under the commerce by threats or violence, under the counsel of the commerce by threats or violence, under the commerce by threats or violence, under the counsel of the commerce by threats or violence, under the commerce by threats or violence, under the counsel of the counterpart (counterpart of the counterpart of the counterpart (counterpart of the counterpart of the | |

- 2. The case is now set for a hearing on the defendant's wiretap motion before this Court on June 1, 2015. A pre-trial hearing date is also set for August 27, 2015, and trial is set for September 8, 2015. This stipulation is concerned only with the wiretap motion hearing date.
- 3. On April 13, 2015, Counsel for Lennie Le timely filed an opening wiretap motion. One issue raised in the motion was the redaction of large portions of the wiretap affidavits provided by the government.
- 4. The government subsequently provided Counsel for Le with a new copy of the wiretap affidavits that replaces much of the information originally redacted. Counsel for Le will now need to file a supplemental opening brief, discussing the new material now disclosed to the defendant.
- 5. After the United States provided defendant with this additional discovery,

 Counsel for Lennie Le and the government met and conferred regarding the status of the case,

 and agreed that the defendant should have an additional opportunity to review the new material
 and then file a supplemental opening brief.
- 6. The parties accordingly ask the Court to continue the wiretap motion hearing from June 1, 2015 until July 20, 2015, at 9:00 a.m. In addition, the parties ask the Court to amend the current motion schedule and set the following schedule: defense supplemental brief to be filed by June 1, 2015; government opposition to be filed June 22, 2015; defense replies to be filed July 6. 2015; with a hearing date on July 20, 2015.
- 7. All parties stipulate and agree that the ends of justice are served by granting a continuance to facilitate review of discovery outweigh the interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial, to allow continuity of counsel and effective preparation of counsel, taking into account the need for due diligence, in accordance with Title 18, sect. 3161(h)(8)(A). In addition, motions are currently pending before this Court, and time has already been excluded up to and including the trial date of September 8, 2015.
 - 8. Accordingly, the parties ask the Court to re-set the motions schedule, as set out

Case 5:13-cr-00076-RMW Document 148 Filed 05/28/15 Page 3 of 5

| 1 | above, and continue the matter until July 20, 2015, and order that time be excluded under the | | |
|----|---|--------------------------------|--|
| 2 | 2 Speedy Trial Act until that date. | | |
| 3 | 3 So Stipulated: | /S/ C. CHENG | |
| 4 | | C. CHENG J.S. Attorney | |
| 5 | 5 /S/ | | |
| 6 | JOHN J. JO | ORDAN r Defendant | |
| 7 | LENNIE L | | |
| 8 | | /S/ N WINN WILLIAMS | |
| 9 | Counsel fo | r Defendant | |
| | | | |
| 10 | MICHAEL | S/ HINCKLEY | |
| 11 | TU XUAN | r Defendant NGUYEN | |
| 12 | 12 | | |
| 13 | PHILLIP A | <u>/S/</u> LLEN SCHNAYERSON | |
| 14 | 14 Counsel fo DUNG MI | r Defendant NH DINH | |
| 15 | 15 | <u>/S/_</u> LAPHELLE FULLER | |
| 16 | Counsel fo | r Defendant | |
| 17 | 17 QUE HON | G NGUYEN | |
| 18 | TIGHT B | <u>/S/</u> ELL | |
| 19 | 19 Counsel to JOHNNY | r Defendant KIM TO | |
| 20 | | NO. LL | |
| 21 | | r Defendant | |
| 22 | | U | |
| 23 | | AN ARNOLD | |
| 24 | NIC ANI IZI | r Defendant M DIEP | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| | -~ | | |

| | Case 5:13-cr-00076-RMW Document 148 | 8 Filed 05/28/15 Page 4 of 5 | |
|----|---|---|--|
| | | | |
| 1 | | | |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 6 | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 7 | SAN JOSE DIVISION | | |
| 8 | LINITED STATES OF AMEDICA | No. CR 13-0076 RMW | |
| 9 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Plaintiff,) | | |
| 10 | vs. | (Proposed) ORDER CONTINUING WIRETAP MOTION HEARINGS | |
| 11 | LENNIE LUAN LE, et. al., | | |
| 12 | Defendants. | | |
| 13 | , | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, it is ordered that: | | |
| 16 | 1. The date for motion hearing for round two of the defendant's pre-trial | | |
| 17 | motions, concerning possible challenges to the court-ordered wiretap interceptions in this case, is | | |
| 18 | vacated, and a new briefing and hearing schedule is hereby set, with defense supplemental | | |
| 19 | motions to be filed by June 1, 2015, government opposition to be filed by June 22, 2015, defense | | |
| 20 | reply briefs to be filed by July 6, 2015, and a hearing date set on July 20, 2015, at 9:00 a.m. | | |
| 21 | 2. The ends of justice served by the granting of this continuance outweigh the | | |
| 22 | interest of the public and the defendants in a sp | eedy trial, in accordance with Title 18, sect. | |
| 23 | 3161(h)(8)(A). In addition, time also excludable as defense pre-trial motions are now pending | | |
| 24 | before this Court, in accordant with Title 18, sect. 3161(h)(1)(D). | | |
| 25 | 3. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED | that the period of this continuance until July 20, | |
| 26 | 2015, shall be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act for the purposes of computing the time | | |
| | ORDER CONTINUING HEARING | 1 | |
| | | | |

Case 5:13-cr-00076-RMW Document 148 Filed 05/28/15 Page 5 of 5

within which this Indictment must be tried to allow for the effective preparation of counsel for the reasons set forth in the parties' joint request. SO ORDERED. Konald M. Whyte RONALD W. WHYTE DATED: May <u>Q</u>, 2015. United States District Judge